


### Q1 Should there be a 14" length limit on walleyes on all ND waters?

Answered: 78 Skipped: 7




Answer Choices	Responses	
yes	52.56%	41
no	47.44%	37
<b>Total</b>		<b>78</b>

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	keep it standard	2/1/2017 1:16 PM
2	No strong opinion	2/1/2017 1:08 PM
3	but no big deal	1/31/2017 2:46 PM
4	I personally wont keep a walleye under 14" unless I know it wont survive	1/31/2017 2:42 PM
5	many lake but not all - of coarse then Game & Fish would have a problem where did you catch the fish	1/31/2017 2:20 PM
6	This decision should be made on a fishery by fishery basis using specific scientific data for that fishery and in consideration of the specific goals for that fishery. If there is no scientific reason to impose a length limit for that specific body of water than none should be imposed.	1/28/2017 10:27 AM
7	All depends on forage base and numbers of predatory fish.	1/26/2017 6:45 PM
8	Not all waters are created equal.	1/25/2017 5:06 PM
9	See alot of small walleyes floating when released after being hooked deeply. Rather see some one take home five walleyes under 14 inches then keep five over 14 inches and kill 3 or 4 under 14 inches that are hurt to bad to recover.	1/12/2017 7:44 PM
10	There should be a slot limit, not just a mimium limit.	1/10/2017 12:50 PM
11	If NDG&F believes it is necessary leave it up to such management not legislation	1/7/2017 10:49 PM
12	It should be voluntary, as most do now.	1/7/2017 12:40 PM
13	No, a majority of the ND lakes are a put and take fishery so, fisherman need to be given the oppotunity to take fish home. Chances are many of the prairie pothole lakes will winter kill 1 out of every 6 year so, fish could just as well be harvested.	1/7/2017 12:02 PM

## Q2 Would you support a "No Trespassing" law that if passed in ND would make all private lands automatically posted and landowners would no longer be required to post signs?

Answered: 82 Skipped: 3




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	6.10% 5
No	93.90% 77
<b>Total</b>	<b>82</b>

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	I'm a land owner & farmer and oppose this change. I do not post and do not want people calling me to hunt.	2/14/2017 10:48 AM
2	There is little enough land to hunt on already.	2/7/2017 1:43 PM
3	stupid - would make most of the state illegal for hunting.	2/7/2017 1:40 PM
4	stupid - would make most of the state illegal for hunting	2/7/2017 1:38 PM
5	Hard enough to contact landowners as it is. You will get a small privileged group hunting, leaving the rest of us out.	2/7/2017 1:30 PM
6	It is difficult to know just who owns the land as is. With posting you know who to contact for permission to hunt	2/1/2017 1:16 PM
7	Hunting would all but end - too much posted land now	2/1/2017 1:14 PM
8	Bill would have a <u>*strong negative*</u> impact on hunting opportunities in ND	2/1/2017 1:08 PM
9	someone other than landowner could say areas are posted	1/31/2017 2:31 PM
10	people can post if they want	1/31/2017 2:30 PM
11	how does one find out who owns the land	1/31/2017 2:20 PM
12	Just because other states have done it does not make it a good idea for ND.	1/25/2017 5:06 PM
13	The current system has worked for years and it allows hunters to have some knowledge of owners.	1/7/2017 10:49 PM
14	Greatly impacts opportunity as MT has found out.	1/7/2017 12:40 PM
15	Absolutely, I feel it would definitely make for better landowner hunter relations. As a hunter it makes for a more relaxing, stress free hunt knowing you won't have a confrontation with an irrate landowner or tenant!	1/7/2017 12:02 PM

**Q3 Before the 2016-2017 North Dakota Furbearer Hunting and Trapping Guide was sent to the Governor for signing last August the Game and Fish added the legalization of “night vision equipment, electronically enhanced light gathering optics and thermal imaging equipment” for night hunting coyotes and fox. Had this idea been presented to the public for input through the normal advisory process how would you have advised the Game and Fish Department? (Check all that apply)**

Answered: 79 Skipped: 6



Answer Choices	Responses
Sounds like a good idea	40.51% 32
Good opportunity for deer poachers to misuse this equipment	30.38% 24
Creates additional enforcement burden for Game Wardens	10.13% 8
Disappointing to learn that G&F bypasses the public input process on important issues	18.99% 15
<b>Total</b>	<b>79</b>


#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	Creates additional enforcement burden for Game Wardens Disappointing to learn that Game and Fish bypasses the public input process on important issues	2/14/2017 10:50 AM
2	Creates additional enforcement burden for Game Wardens	2/7/2017 1:44 PM

3	Good opportunity for deer poachers to misuse this equipment. Creates additional enforcement burden for Game Wardens	2/7/2017 1:43 PM
4	Anything to get rid of coyotes and get deer population back	2/7/2017 1:40 PM
5	Anything to get rid of coyotes and get deer population back	2/7/2017 1:38 PM
6	Disappointing to learn that Game and Fish bypasses the public input process on important issues	2/7/2017 1:35 PM
7	Creates additional enforcement burden for Game Wardens Disappointing to learn that Game and Fish bypasses the public input process on important issues.	2/7/2017 1:34 PM
8	Creates additional enforcement burden for Game Wardens Disappointing to learn that the Game and Fish bypasses the public input process on important issues	2/6/2017 2:50 PM
9	Creates additional enforcement burden for Game Wardens Disappointing to learn that Game and Fish bypasses the public input process on important issues	2/1/2017 1:35 PM
10	Creates additional enforcement burden for Game Wardens Disappointing to learn that Gamd & Fish bypasses the public process on important issues.	2/1/2017 1:31 PM
11	Disappointing to learn that Game and Fish bypasses the public input process on important issues.	2/1/2017 1:28 PM
12	I have lost calves to coyotes last few years so if Law Enforcement is okay with - then I am - only then!	2/1/2017 1:27 PM
13	Creates additional enforcement burden for Game Wardens Disappointing to learn that Game and Fish bypasses the public input on important issues	2/1/2017 1:22 PM
14	Creates additional enforcement burden for Game Wardens	2/1/2017 1:17 PM
15	Creates additional enforcement burden for Game Wardens Disappointing to learn that Game and Fish bypasses the public input process on important issues	2/1/2017 1:16 PM
16	(added "for coyotes" on the first option. Good opportunity for deer poachers to misuse this equipment Creates additional enforcement burden for Game Wardens Disappointing to learn that Game and Fish bypasses the public input process on important issues	2/1/2017 1:14 PM
17	Creates additional enforcement burden for Game Wardens Disappointing to learn that Game and Fish bypasses the public input process on important issues	2/1/2017 1:12 PM
18	Disappointing to learn that Game and Fish bypasses the public input process on important issues.	2/1/2017 1:10 PM
19	No strong opinion	2/1/2017 1:08 PM
20	Creates additional enforcement burden for Game Wardens Disappointing to learn tat Game and Fish bypasses the public input process on important issues	2/1/2017 1:02 PM
21	Disappointing to learn that Game and Fish bypasses the public input process on important issues.	2/1/2017 1:00 PM
22	Creates additional enforcement burden for Game Wardens Disappointing to learn that Game and Fish bypasses the public input process on important issues	1/31/2017 2:50 PM
23	Creates opportunity for deer poachers to misuse this equipment Disappointing to learn that Game and Fish bypasses the public input process on important issues	1/31/2017 2:45 PM
24	Good opportunity for deer poachers to misuse this equipment creates additional enforcement burden for Game Wardens	1/31/2017 2:44 PM
25	good opportunity for deer poachers to misuse this equipment creates additional enforcement burden for Game Wardens	1/31/2017 2:42 PM
26	Don't care. Don't need electronics myself.	1/31/2017 2:30 PM
27	creates additional enforcement burden for Game Wardens Disappointing to learn that G&F bypasses the public input process on important issues	1/31/2017 2:24 PM
28	creates additional enforcement burden for Game Wardens Disappointing to learn that G&F bypasses the public input process on important issues	1/31/2017 2:20 PM
29	create additional enforcement burden for Game Wardens	1/31/2017 2:16 PM
30	also creates additional enforcement burden for Game Wardens	1/31/2017 2:10 PM
31	I cannot check any of these options. The use of this equipment has never been made unlawful. As such, the proclamation accurately reflected the law and there was no need for public input. I do think, however, that the use of this equipment should be limited and controlled similar to recent laws and proposals regarding the use of drones.	1/28/2017 10:27 AM

32	I do not think they should be allowed to be carried afield while the big game seasons are open. It's to easy to misuse these tools.	1/26/2017 6:45 PM
33	Why do we have an advisory process if the G&F continues to bypass the system.	1/25/2017 5:06 PM
34	Only allowed to check one option. If I check another the first one disappears. I would also have checked #3 and#4 options.	1/7/2017 7:53 PM
35	Sounds like a ridiculous idea. Definitely causes more law enforcement issues. Pure nonsense not needed here!	1/7/2017 12:02 PM

### Q4 With our ND deer herd at a 30 year low what steps should be considered in adjusting our deer management plan for the greatest benefit (check all that apply)?

Answered: 83 Skipped: 2



Answer Choices	Responses
Continue with our current plan and hope for a new habitat program	27.71% 23
Reduce or eliminate doe harvest statewide	54.22% 45
adjust gratis licensing process	25.30% 21
Other	12.05% 10
<b>Total Respondents: 83</b>	

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	Reduce or eliminate doe harvest statewide	2/14/2017 10:50 AM
2	after this winter won't be much left!	2/14/2017 10:48 AM
3	Not sure what would work	2/7/2017 1:43 PM
4	Organize coyote spring trapping before fawns are born.	2/7/2017 1:40 PM
5	Organize coyote spring trapping before fawns are born	2/7/2017 1:38 PM
6	Adjust gratis licensing process	2/7/2017 1:35 PM
7	Adjust gratis licensing process	2/7/2017 1:34 PM
8	Adjust Gratis licensing process As hard as it is to draw a buck tag - why to certain groups of hunters get a chance to draw 3 buck tags? 1 - buck tag is all you should be allowed in any 1 year.	2/6/2017 2:50 PM
9	One License per person period! No more for anyone - give more people a chance to hunt.	2/1/2017 1:27 PM
10	Leave the management up to the NDG&F	2/1/2017 1:22 PM

11	(crossed out "and hope for a new habitat program")	2/1/2017 1:17 PM
12	No non-resident licenses until all residents have been filled.	2/1/2017 1:14 PM
13	Adjust gratis licensing process	2/1/2017 1:12 PM
14	working on enhancing habitat	2/1/2017 1:10 PM
15	Does produce the huntable crop. If population to low it might be a good idea to reduce doe harvest *but*(underlined) don't go overboard and make sure it is a year by year & place by place decision.	2/1/2017 1:08 PM
16	create more habitat	2/1/2017 1:02 PM
17	(reduce is circled in the above answer) Adjust gratis licensing process	2/1/2017 1:00 PM
18	Adjust non-resident archery tags to a lottery system instead of over the counter. Also like other states, make nonresidents have to buy a ND Non-Resident hunting license before they are able to apply for a permit. Many states do this and make lots of money while regulating the amount of hunters. Many states regulate the amount of tags and cater to the residents of the state instead of the nonresidents.	1/31/2017 5:38 PM
19	Adjust gratis licensing process	1/31/2017 2:50 PM
20	adjust gratis licensing process	1/31/2017 2:46 PM
21	Adjust gratis licensing process	1/31/2017 2:44 PM
22	(crossed out word eliminate in above answer)	1/31/2017 2:31 PM
23	adjust gratis licensing process - leave as is A bucks only will eliminate bucks down to 2 pointers or less	1/31/2017 2:30 PM
24	adjust gratis licensing process	1/31/2017 2:24 PM
25	Available gratis licenses should be capped as a percentage of the total available licenses in each unit. I understand that there are currently some units where a very limited number of tags are available after the gratis tags are issued. One would think this would lead to areas in those units where the deer population is not hunted.	1/28/2017 10:27 AM
26	Deer numbers need to be kept in check. Sportsmen will always want the numbers to be maintained at the highest level possible but landowner tolerance and vehicle accidents need to be measured or part of the equation/discussion.	1/27/2017 12:03 PM
27	Combination of the current plan and reduced doe tags if needed.	1/26/2017 6:45 PM
28	Use some biology. Fawns don't come out of bucks.	1/25/2017 5:06 PM
29	Gratis licenses should have to be payed for like all other tags and should be limited to in-state farmers and ranchers only. 150 acres is too small of an acreage in my opinion, too.	1/10/2017 12:50 PM
30	In times of low deer population as may be the case after this year's winter; restrict deer tags to one per person per year e.g. if one receives a deer gun license, that person would not be eligible for another license (bow, muzzleloader) This could make more tags available for lottery applicants.	1/8/2017 12:07 AM
31	There are a lot of moving parts and needs a full analysis, especially after this tough start to the winter. There will be no easy answers. But in overall numbers and licenses we have seen low numbers before and it will take some time before we know where things are at. We were on a good increase until this winter again.	1/7/2017 10:49 PM
32	Reduce as needed but NOT eliminate.	1/7/2017 7:53 PM
33	Stop managing the deer herd via success rates and "opportunities". Start using common sense deer management. Hunting is a management tool not the end all management process. Currently the game and fish manages by shooting deer that's called managing to death. Then they blame the legislators for the deer Management woes. If that's the case why do we need the game And fish dept. let them do their job. It's pretty easy do your counts, work on getting cover and habitat and give out Deer tags accordingly not because you need the money and greedy high pressure hunters want 3 deer tags. Give only one deer tag and you choose. Not seeing why this is so hard. It's deer management 101. Stop shooting all these doe's! I think we all know doe's make the little ones. Stop shooting deer in the name of opportunity and start using their management heads! Look what it's done for the mule deer populations stop shooting so many and close doe hunting and the population has came back huh, shocking isn't it!	1/7/2017 12:02 PM


## Q5 How can USND improve interaction/input between our statewide membership and the Board of Directors?

Answered: 29 Skipped: 56

#	Responses	Date
1	?	2/7/2017 1:43 PM
2	attend state meetings	2/7/2017 1:41 PM
3	Increase online presence	2/7/2017 1:35 PM
4	That is tough as a person's time is a prime commodity that is already divided among work/family/hobbies. Maybe a quarterly newsletter.	2/7/2017 1:30 PM
5	Semi annual surveys.	2/3/2017 6:23 PM
6	Encourage members to use the website	2/1/2017 1:35 PM
7	This questionair is a very good tool	2/1/2017 1:22 PM
8	Semi-annual Surveys!!	2/1/2017 1:17 PM
9	Don't Know	2/1/2017 1:16 PM
10	Use email more	2/1/2017 1:02 PM
11	Set up0 Forums and more newsletters	1/31/2017 2:50 PM
12	not sure	1/31/2017 2:44 PM
13	OK	1/31/2017 2:25 PM
14	Website needs to be current.	1/27/2017 12:03 PM
15	more hunter input, listen to those people outside the normal limits, all individuals	1/26/2017 9:47 PM
16	Maybe facebook, it may attract more of the younger people also.	1/26/2017 6:45 PM
17	Need to find someone on the board of Directors who has the same vested interests as we do and work with that person as a spokesman for the cause	1/26/2017 11:14 AM
18	Use e-mail more	1/26/2017 10:12 AM
19	Elect officers/directors with mail in ballot system.	1/25/2017 5:06 PM
20	Attend the Williston and Jamestown banquets and branch out from there	1/12/2017 7:44 PM
21	?? Emails more often?	1/10/2017 12:50 PM
22	More communication.	1/9/2017 7:01 AM
23	we need to have meetings at a local level.	1/8/2017 12:20 PM
24	Education on issues is a major need.	1/8/2017 12:07 AM
25	?	1/7/2017 8:34 PM
26	Our area rep does a good job of keeping us informed. The more hunting, shooting, and fishing club meetings he can attend the better.	1/7/2017 7:53 PM
27	survey like this,	1/7/2017 12:40 PM
28	Internet and good representation in Bismarck with communication to members. A social inviting everyone on natural resource committees. Visits with our representatives	1/7/2017 12:18 PM
29	Not sure other than have meetings much like g&f advisory board meetings.	1/7/2017 12:02 PM

**Q6 Some permanent trails on the National Grasslands in the Western part of the State have been closed to all motorized traffic except for ranching activity and are commonly referred to as "road less areas". Would you like to see more or less non-motorized blocks of land on the National Grasslands or would you like it to remain as it is?**

Answered: 81 Skipped: 4




Answer Choices	Responses
More	25.93% 21
Less	23.46% 19
Kept the same	50.62% 41
<b>Total</b>	<b>81</b>

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	Hiking & Biking equals exercise	2/7/2017 1:35 PM
2	North Dakota can not do this	2/1/2017 1:29 PM
3	We need <u>*more*</u> roadless areas	2/1/2017 1:08 PM
4	(highlighted "less non-motorized" on the question)	1/31/2017 2:50 PM
5	or slightly less	1/31/2017 2:31 PM
6	The first two miles from the road is the only part that gets hunted. Blocks of land 40 miles wide do no one any good. If someone has the ability to walk that far, they may as well have a frying pan with because if they shoot something they are going to have to sit down and eat him right there.	1/27/2017 12:03 PM
7	Current restrictions are pretty good now. We need some less accessible areas and there is still a lot of country to hunt. I shot my mule deer within 1/2 mile of a heavily traveled paved road but it did take some walking access and I did not see another hunter even though it was opening day.	1/7/2017 10:49 PM

8	You don't need roads every 1/2 mile, but allowing use of trails that already exist and have existed since motorized vehicles should be allowed.	1/7/2017 12:40 PM
---	---	-------------------

### Q7 Do you think the Corps of Engineers should put forth more of an effort to allow shoreline access by automobile on Lake Sakakawea and Lake Oahe for day use only?

Answered: 71 Skipped: 14




Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	74.65%	53
No	25.35%	18
<b>Total</b>		<b>71</b>

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	don't care	2/7/2017 1:40 PM
2	Don't care	2/7/2017 1:38 PM
3	The Corp is violating the American Disability Act with fencing of the lake. Which prevent the elderly and disable to access fishing areas. Not everone can afford a boat.	2/1/2017 1:35 PM
4	I don't know the situations involved	2/1/2017 1:22 PM
5	?	2/1/2017 1:16 PM
6	No opinion	2/1/2017 1:08 PM
7	And not only for day use. Years past we looked forward to getting together with friends on weekend and set up tents and fished. We always picked up our trash and anyones near that left theirs. I would rather primitive camp at my own choosing than be forced to camp at an establishment that collects money (ie parks etc) and puts limitations on what you can do and a long ways to a fishing spot. let alone camping with every other person who you don't care to see that may be an ass.	1/31/2017 2:50 PM
8	no comment	1/31/2017 2:42 PM
9	Find places that can be used. not all shoreline. the Corps needs to take a stand for cabin owners & public & not kwo-to to the tribes.	1/31/2017 2:30 PM
10	yes if needed!	1/31/2017 2:22 PM
11	monies probably spent better elsewhere	1/31/2017 2:20 PM
12	Should never have allowed the Corps to block all of the access to the shore line!	1/9/2017 7:01 AM

13	The COE has been way overly restrictive. It could use some lessons from the Forest Service in terms of allowing use of public lands.	1/7/2017 10:49 PM
14	I don't know enough about this to answer.	1/7/2017 7:53 PM
15	on west end of the lake there were double the number of places you could drive on for ice fishing about 6-8 years ago. COE continues to take away access spot by spot.	1/7/2017 12:40 PM

**Q8 Currently, crossbows are allowed in the regular bow season under a handicapped permit issued by the Game and Fish with a doctor's request. A bill being considered is to allow anyone 65 years and older to be allowed to use a crossbow in the archery season. Do you think crossbows should become legal in archer season for those 65 and older?**

Answered: 81 Skipped: 4




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	39.51% 32
No, many seniors are still capable of shooting vertical equipment	60.49% 49
<b>Total</b>	<b>81</b>

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	give the deer a break on this one.	2/7/2017 1:43 PM
2	I am sure those that can pull a regular bow still will but it would cut the red tape for those who cant.	2/7/2017 1:30 PM
3	?	2/6/2017 2:50 PM
4	Even the handicap part of this is being grossly misused in my area.	2/1/2017 1:27 PM
5	If you are strong enough to hunt you should be able to use a standard bow	2/1/2017 1:16 PM
6	I am 79 1/2 -- just got easier to pull bow which works fine! No need to expand use of crossbows.	2/1/2017 1:08 PM
7	As the question stated, if someone is not able to pull the legal amount of weight allowed to bowhunt in North Dakota then they can get a permit for crossbow. No need to change this law.	1/31/2017 5:38 PM
8	don't care. Don't bow hunt anyway	1/31/2017 2:30 PM
9	and sometimes we just need to recognize that our physical limitations are going to restrict certain activities, especially as we get older. Just like we may have to give up playing basketball we may one day have to give up hunting with a bow. Crossbows are more akin to firearms and as such should only be allowed during the firearms, or possibly during a primitive weapons such as with muzzle loaders, season.	1/28/2017 10:27 AM

10	People age differently. We place a lot of focus on recruiting hunters, I think we need to focus some attention on retaining hunters. With rifle tags getting harder to draw a over the counter archery tag might keep some older hunters involved if they have a realistic chance of harvesting a deer.	1/12/2017 7:44 PM
11	I am 77 and at one time hunted with a bow. Although I don't have a disability, I cannot walk as far or climb trees, etc. I would hunt with a crossbow if it were legal. Maybe the age should be changed to 70 or 75 and the disability requirement for applicants with a disability who are under that age retained.	1/8/2017 12:07 AM
12	If a 65 year old hunter is physically unable to use a vertical bow they can already get a crossbow permit. There is no logical reason to allow this change and I am within a couple years of 65	1/7/2017 10:49 PM
13	if they have shoulder issues, dr will write a statement, if not a bow of about 35 lbs is still legal, you just need to hunt accordingly.	1/7/2017 12:40 PM

**Q9 The latest new implement on the market is an Air Rifle that shoots arrows (termed an "Air Bow" by manufacturers). Should this new weapon be allowed in our ND Archery season?**

Answered: 81 Skipped: 4




Answer Choices	Responses
yes	3.70% 3
no	96.30% 78
<b>Total</b>	<b>81</b>

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	(answer also circled with three exclamation points)	2/1/2017 1:08 PM
2	It would simulate a cross bow. If you legalize this, you should legalize crossbows for all.	1/31/2017 2:50 PM
3	too many cripples	1/31/2017 2:30 PM
4	keep it pure. as is.	1/31/2017 2:22 PM
5	Absolutely not.. It is a rifle that shoots arrows.	1/7/2017 10:49 PM

### Q10 Should trained dogs be allowed to track and help recover wounded big game animals in ND?

Answered: 76 Skipped: 9




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	46.05% 35
No	53.95% 41
<b>Total</b>	<b>76</b>

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	?	2/8/2017 1:22 PM
2	keep it pure. as is.	1/31/2017 2:22 PM
3	we should not encourage the waste of recoverable game when there is a method available that would increase the chance of recovery.	1/28/2017 10:27 AM
4	With trained dogs, and through a permitted process for the dog handlers.	1/7/2017 10:49 PM
5	I can see a need in swamp or super thick underbrush as some states have. We are open country. If you made that bad of a shot, animal may recover.	1/7/2017 12:40 PM

**Q11 Many states offer reduced prices or free hunting and/or fishing licenses to seniors over 65 years of age. Should ND consider giving our seniors a break? (check all that apply)**

Answered: 83 Skipped: 2




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	28.92% 24
No	27.71% 23
For Residents only	20.48% 17
For Residents and Non-Residents	2.41% 2
Fishing Only	4.82% 4
Fishing and small game	4.82% 4
All resident licenses	10.84% 9
<b>Total</b>	<b>83</b>

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	Fishing and Small Game For Residents and Nonresidents	2/14/2017 10:50 AM
2	All Resident Licenses	2/14/2017 10:49 AM
3	GF needs the money	2/14/2017 10:48 AM
4	Fishing Only	2/7/2017 1:44 PM
5	For Residents Only	2/7/2017 1:43 PM

6	For Residents Only All Resident Licenses	2/7/2017 1:41 PM
7	I'm 84, and our license cost is low compared to other states I've visited. I think we are lucky now.	2/7/2017 1:40 PM
8	I'm 84, and one license cost is low compared to other states I've visited. I think we are lucky now.	2/7/2017 1:38 PM
9	Fishing and Small Game	2/7/2017 1:35 PM
10	For Residents Only All Resident Licenses It gets harder on those with fixed incomes to incur the expense of being outdoors. Reduced fees would be a good step towards helping.	2/7/2017 1:30 PM
11	For Residents Only Fishing and Small Game	2/6/2017 2:50 PM
12	All Resident Licenses	2/1/2017 1:35 PM
13	For Residents Only All Resident Licenses	2/1/2017 1:33 PM
14	For Residents Only Fishing and Small Game	2/1/2017 1:31 PM
15	For Residents Only	2/1/2017 1:28 PM
16	Fishing Only	2/1/2017 1:27 PM
17	For Residents Only	2/1/2017 1:25 PM
18	All Resident Licenses	2/1/2017 1:22 PM
19	I'm 77 and you should be able to afford a license its agoon but	2/1/2017 1:20 PM
20	Fishing Only For Residents Only Fishing and Small Game	2/1/2017 1:12 PM
21	If you can afford to drive around to hunt and fish you can afford a few bucks for a license.	2/1/2017 1:08 PM
22	more age to 70	2/1/2017 1:00 PM
23	For Residents Only All Resident Licenses	1/31/2017 2:43 PM
24	For Residents Only All Resident Licenses	1/31/2017 2:42 PM
25	most are retired and if they fish or hunt the license fee is really the least of expenses.	1/31/2017 2:31 PM
26	For Residents only All Resident Licenses	1/31/2017 2:24 PM
27	for residents only fishing and small game	1/31/2017 2:16 PM
28	maybe a reduced rate	1/31/2017 2:07 PM
29	no opinion	1/27/2017 12:03 PM
30	ND licenses are rather low in price.	1/12/2017 7:44 PM
31	Fishing and small game only. Big game, waterfowl, and furbearer should not be included	1/8/2017 12:07 AM
32	I am there and many of us have plenty of ability to pay for our licenses. Perhaps at 70 or 75 as there does come a time when the ability to use the resource decreases with age	1/7/2017 10:49 PM
33	Our fees now are ridiculously low. If there are seniors who are UNABLE (not just unwilling) to pay the fees there could be some way for them to apply for hardship. For example : proof that they are on Medical Assistance (Medicaid)	1/7/2017 7:53 PM
34	Unless G&F is that short of operating funds. License is the cheap part of any fishing or hunting.	1/7/2017 12:40 PM

### Q12 Should there be a limit on the number of big game hunting licenses made available to charitable organizations in ND?

Answered: 80 Skipped: 5



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	93.75% 75
No	6.25% 5
<b>Total</b>	<b>80</b>

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	Getting out of hand.	2/1/2017 1:27 PM
2	No licenses should be given to charities to be used as fund raisers. The wildlife in the state belong to the people and as such everyone in the state should have an equal opportunity to obtain a license to hunt or fish, not just those who can afford to buy the most raffle tickets to increase their odds.	1/28/2017 10:27 AM
3	It needs to be limited to uses that benefit the natural resource not just a good cause. There is no end of good causes. It should be related to habitat improvement or things that help the game animal for which the license is provided. .	1/7/2017 10:49 PM
4	Why make any available? Does the state benefit? I know know much of a sheep tag auction comes back for sheep work. Game warden raffle is benefiting a few that work for the agency. But that isn't helping the resource. But, if we go that way, why not auction off multiple tags to increase budget for G&F, where do you stop?	1/7/2017 12:40 PM